NHAc

CN **OBOM**

OBOM

Assembly of a Key Dienic Intermediate for Tetrodotoxin via a Machetti−DeSarlo Reaction

Jaclyn Chau, Sanjia Xu, and Marco A. Ciufolini*

Department of Chemistry, The University of British Colu[mbi](#page-7-0)a, 2036 Main Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z1, Canada

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-7-0)STRACT: [A route to a r](#page-7-0)acemic diene intermediate for the synthesis of 12 steps COOMe tetrodotoxin is described. Key steps of the sequence leading to such a compound include the oxidative amidation of a phenol, a Cu(II)-catalyzed cyclocondensation of a nitroketone with an olefin (Machetti−DeSarlo reaction), and a nucleophilic fragmentation of the resulting isoxazoline. Several unusual reactions encountered in the course of this study are thoroughly discussed.

ENTRODUCTION

Tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1; Figure 1),¹ a neurotoxic agent² initially isolated 3 from puffer fish, 4 remains a privileged target for

Figure 1. Structure and retrosynthetic analysis of tetrodotoxin.

chemical synthesis on account of complex architecture, high density of reactive functionalities packed into a small framework, and sheer difficulty of a synthetic attack.⁵ The first synthesis of (\pm) -1 was achieved in 1972;⁶ however, enantioselective avenues to the natural product⁷ a[nd](#page-7-0) its congeners⁸ began to appe[ar](#page-7-0) only in the early 2000s. Interestingly, the best contemporary route^{7b} to 1 relies on new synth[e](#page-7-0)tic technology: the insertion of a nitrenoid into a C−H bond.⁹ This suggests that key to an e[ffi](#page-7-0)cient approach is the inclusion of new reactions as elements of the overall strategy.

In that respect, we perceive opportunity in an oxidative amidation of a phenol 10 as an early step toward 1. To illustrate (Figure 1), the TTX forerunner 2, where [CHO] indicates an expressed or latent f[orm](#page-8-0)yl group and P a protecting group, could be made by bis-dihydroxylation of diene 3, which results upon Wittig reaction of ketone 4. The latter arises via the stereoselective installation of OH and latent CHO groups on dienone 5, recognized as the product of oxidative amidation of phenolic ester 6^{11}

Past efforts¹² demonstrated the elaboration of 5 to nitrile 11, as shown in Sc[hem](#page-8-0)e 1. Key steps in this sequence included a

Torssell¹³ cyclization of nitroketone β -9 and a nucleophilic fragmentation of the resultant isoxazoline β -10.¹⁴ Compounds $β$ -7−β-[10](#page-8-0) in Scheme 1 are so described to underscore the $β$ configuration of the tert-butyldiphenylsilylo[xy](#page-8-0) (TBDPSO) group. It subsequently transpired that it is advantageous to carry out this sequence with the α -epimers of $7-10$.¹⁵ Moreover, the Torssell step was found to scale up poorly, complicating material throughput. Herein, we descri[be](#page-8-0) remedies to these problems, as well as the elaboration of the α -TBDPSO epimer of 11 to a diene of the type 3. We stress that this work constituted a feasibility-level study, for which issues of enantioselectivity were of secondary importance. Accordingly, all experiments were carried out in the racemic series.¹⁶

Recei[ved](#page-8-0): September 4, 2013 Published: October 27, 2013

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The elaboration of 5 to a nitroketone requires prior reduction of the keto group.¹⁷ Our previous route relied on a DIBAL reduction of 5 that preferentially yielded the alcohol β -13 (Scheme 2).¹⁸ Inte[res](#page-8-0)tingly, a Corey−Bakshi−Shibata (CBS)¹⁹

Scheme 2. [Re](#page-8-0)duction of Dienone 5 and Protection of the Resultant Alcohols⁶

^aReagents: (a) 1.0 equiv, 1 mol % of 12, THF, 0 °C, 1 h, >95% yield of crude α - + β -13; (b) 1.0 equiv each, CH₂Cl₂, overnight, 85–90% yield.

reduction²⁰ preferentially afforded alcohol α -13,²¹ albeit with weak selectivity.²² While the reason for this stereochemical reversal i[s u](#page-8-0)nclear, a hypothesis may be advanc[ed](#page-8-0) as follows. Nucleophiles te[nd](#page-8-0) to undergo 1,2- or 1,4-addition to enones of the type 5 from the face of the π system anti to the heteroatomic group,²³ perhaps due to a Felkin−Anh-type effect²⁴ created by the electronegative heteroatom.²⁵ Thus, the stereochemical outc[om](#page-8-0)e of the CBS reduction is consonant with [th](#page-8-0)e anticipated facial preference of 5, while [th](#page-8-0)e DIBAL reduction occurs with opposite selectivity. Perhaps, the latter reaction proceeds through a complex of the acetamide (or an anionic form thereof) with DIBAL, leading to β -13 via intramolecular hydride delivery to the keto carbonyl (Figure $2)^{26}$

Figure 2. Hypothesis for the stereochemical course of the reduction of 5.

The mixture of α - and β -13 was advanced to the more stable tert-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) ethers²⁷ α - and β -7 (Scheme 2), which were converted into nitroketones²⁸ α-9 and $β$ -9 as described earlier.¹² It proved expedien[t t](#page-8-0)o eschew purification of the various intermediates en route to th[e](#page-8-0) nitroketones. In this way, di[e](#page-8-0)none 5 produced a 2.4:1 mixture of α -9 (major) and β-9, in 56% overall yield after chromatography over four steps: CBS reduction, TBDPS protection, ester saponifica t ion, 29 and carbonyldiimidazole $^{30^+}$ (CDI)-mediated condensation of the resultant acids with MeNO_2^{31} (Scheme 3).

U[nfo](#page-8-0)rtunately, the separation [of](#page-8-0) α and β diastereomers at any stage of this sequence was difficult. [Th](#page-8-0)erefore, the crucial conversion of the nitroketones into isoxazolines α -10 and β -10

Scheme 3. Preparation of Nitroketones 9

had to be carried out with a 2.4:1 mixture of α - and β -9. This step was challenging.³² The Torssell cyclization¹³ had performed adequately on a small scale,¹² but it proved unsuitable for processi[ng](#page-8-0) grams of material. In a se[arc](#page-8-0)h for alternatives, we evaluated a Cu(II)-catalyze[d p](#page-8-0)rocess described by Machetti and DeSarlo,³³ a variant of which (5 mol % of $Cu(OAc)₂$ ³⁴ 10 mol % of N-ethylpiperidine,³⁵ CHCl₃,³⁶ 30 °C,³⁷ 168 h) advanced t[he](#page-8-0) nitroketones to isoxazolines α -10 and β -10 [i](#page-8-0)n 40−50% yield (Scheme 4[\).](#page-8-0) A sub[str](#page-8-0)ate

Scheme 4. Cu(II)-Catalyzed Formation of Isoxazolines 10 (Machetti−DeSarlo Reaction)

concentration of 0.2 M minimized byproduct formation, but attempts to accelerate the reaction by using more catalyst, especially more base, promoted formation of side products (cf. Scheme 5 for the nature of these). Isoxazoline α -10 was purified to homogeneity, and no further work was done with β -10.

The [Mac](#page-2-0)hetti−DeSarlo reaction performed consistently on a scale of up to 6 g of nitroketone; however, it was not free from difficulties. First, the yield of isoxazoline was moderate. Second, the reaction required an induction period of 15−20 h before the isoxazoline would begin to form. Third, the reaction failed to reach completion, unreacted nitroketone remaining even after 7 days. Fourth, it tended to stall, and addition of more catalyst to stalled reactions failed to revive them. Fifth, significant quantities of acids 8 accompanied the desired isoxazolines. The acids could only form by hydrolysis of the nitroketone through a process that would release nitromethane, but because reagents and solvents had been carefully dried, it seemed likely that the water required to cleave 9 was that liberated by the reaction itself.³⁸ Numerous small-scale reactions were thus carried out in $CDCI₃$ solutions (NMR tube), 39 with monitoring by ¹H N[MR](#page-8-0), 40 in order to garner a better understanding of the process. These experiments reveal[ed](#page-8-0) that release of MeNO_2 (singl[et](#page-8-0) at 4.33 ppm) began to occur after about 15−20 h, at about the same time that the isoxazoline was becoming apparent in the $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. In an effort to contain/suppress MeNO_2 release, i.e., the formation of acids 8, the effect of adding drying agents to the

Scheme 5. Side Reactions Observed During the Cyclization of Nitroketones 9

reaction mixture was examined. Molecular sieves inhibited isoxazoline formation. It seems likely that this was due to protonation of N-ethylpiperidine by the acidic molecular sieves, an event that would deny the reaction an essential basic agent. Powdered, anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ had no effect, but $CaSO₄$ (powdered white Drierite activated by heating under vacuum) significantly diminished MeNO_2 formation, without fully suppressing it.⁴¹ However, reactions run in the presence of CaSO4 still tended to stall and could not be resurrected by the addition of m[ore](#page-8-0) catalyst.

A byproduct detected during NMR monitoring of the reaction, compound 17^{42} (Scheme 5), and the observation that running the reaction at higher temperatures in the presence of CaSO4 induced only [a](#page-8-0) greater extent of formation of 17 without accelerating isoxazoline formation, provided a clue as to the source of the above problems. A sensible mechanism for the formation of 17 starts with reversible deprotonation of the AcNH group, either in the free nitroketone (cf. 14) or in a $Cu(II)$ chelate thereof (cf. 15). Such events lead to the release of nitroacetone, a good ligand for Cu(II) that can sequester the metal and bring the catalytic cycle leading to the isoxazolines to a halt. Moreover, the O terminus of the anion of the acetamide could add to the keto carbonyl (cf. $14 \rightarrow 18$), triggering release of MeNO_2 and formation of acids 8 upon hydrolysis of azalactones 19. On such a basis, it seemed desirable to replace the N-acetyl with a less N−H acidifying and less O-nucleophilic BOC group.⁴³

N-BOC-protected nitroketone 23 was thus prepared according t[o](#page-8-0) Scheme 6, several aspects of which deserve comment. First, the CBS reduction of 20 proceeded with improved selectivity relative to 5 (4.9:1 in favor of the α epimer vs 2.4:1). Second, the less polar N-BOC compounds were easier to handle and purify than their N-acetyl congeners. Finally, and in contrast to the N-acetyl series, the epimeric alcohols obtained upon CBS reduction of 20 could be easily separated at the stage of 21: the latter crystallized from the mixture, enabling also a structural proof by X-ray diffractometry

Scheme 6. Preparation of N-BOC Substrate 23

(Figure 3). All subsequent work was therefore carried out with stereochemically homogeneous materials.

Figure 3. X-ray crystal structure of compound 21.

It should also be noted that the step leading to 20 afforded byproducts 24−26 in 16%, 10%, and 4% yields, respectively (Scheme 7). The isolation of 24 underscores the notion

Scheme 7. Byproducts Formed in the Step Leading to 20

advanced in Scheme 5 that deprotonation of the acetamide is relatively facile and that such an event may be at the root of many undesirable side reactions. In fact, 24 arguably arose through Michael cyclization of the N anion of 5 at the O terminus and interception of the emerging enolate by $BOC₂O$. The formation of the noteworthy cycloheptatriene 25 indicates that deprotonation of the ester segment in 5 and/or 20 is also facile. Indeed, the work of Carreno and collaborators⁴⁴ supports the sequence of events depicted in Scheme 8 for the formation of 25. Finally, compound 26 reflects the tendency of [en](#page-8-0)olate 27 to eliminate the anion of N-BOC-acetamide[, l](#page-3-0)eading to quinone methide 29. The genesis of 26 may be accounted for by

invoking a 1,6-addition of 27 to 29 and evolution of the resultant 30 as per Scheme 8.

Elimination of N-BOC-acetamide seems responsible also for the formation of significant quantities of 34 (Scheme 9) during

attempts to reach 22 by simultaneous ester saponification/Ndeacetylation of 21 with aqueous LiOH. Compound 34 probably arose upon tautomerization of elimination product 35, followed by release of the silyl group under basic conditions. It is this problem that mandated the Ndeacetylation of 21 with $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$ prior to basic hydrolysis (Scheme 6).

The behavior of N-BOC nitroketone 23 under the Machetti−DeSarlo conditions of Scheme 4 paralleled that of the N-ac[ety](#page-2-0)l congener. However, and in sharp contrast to the case of 9, an increase in the amount of ba[se](#page-1-0) (30 mol % of Nethylpiperidine vs the original 10 mol %) accelerated the conversion of 23 into the desired isoxazoline with no significant increase in formation of the byproducts shown in Scheme 5. This it is consistent with the diminished N−H acidity and O nucleophilicity of the N-BOC compounds relative to N-[Ac](#page-2-0) materials. As before, an increase in the amount of $Cu(OAc)_{2}$ (10 mol % vs 5 mol %) resulted only in the formation of more of the N-BOC analogue of compound 17, while the addition of $CaSO₄$ diminished the extent of $MeNO₂$ release without affecting rates and yields. More beneficial was the use of MeCN as a solvent in lieu of $CHCl₃$, a modification that induced the reaction to proceed to completion. Finally, the addition of silica gel to the reaction medium and—more importantly—the conduct of the reaction in more dilute solutions (0.04 M) produced the best results. Just as seen earlier in the N-acetyl

series, compound 21 was reproducibly advanced to isoxazoline 36 in 34% overall yield (after chromatography) over a four-step sequence encompassing N-deacetylation, ester saponification, nitroketone synthesis, and Cu(II)-catalyzed cyclization (76% average yield per step), without purification of intermediate products (Scheme 10). Chromatography of the final 36 also

Scheme 10. Preparation of Compound 36 in Four Steps from 21 without Intermediate Purification

returned quantities of acid 22 (typically 25−30% yield based on 21), which was conveniently recycled into the sequence. However, we emphasize that the stated yield of 36 refers to the actual yield of the process outlined in Scheme 10, and it is not based on recovered or recycled 22.

The previously described 12 nucleophilic isoxazoline fragmentation $(Li_2CO_3/MeOH)^{45}$ advanced α -10 to 37 (the structure of which had been confir[med](#page-8-0) earlier by X-ray diffractometry; Figure 4 ⁴⁶ in 98% yiel[d](#page-8-0) after chromatography (Scheme 11).

Figure 4. X-ray crystal structure of compound $37.^{46}$

The fragmentation of 36 occurred as efficie[ntl](#page-9-0)y to afford 38, which was free from contaminants (NMR) and therefore required no further purification.⁴⁷

The quantities of acetamido intermediates accumulated in the course of these investigatio[ns](#page-9-0) served to explore one more transformation: the elaboration of 37 into a diene of the type $3.^{48}$ This effort started with the protection of the free OH group as a BOM derivative⁴⁹ in preparation for release of the T[BD](#page-9-0)PS group, oxidation to a ketone, and a Wittig reaction (Scheme 12). Desilylati[on](#page-9-0) of 32 and Parikh−Doering α xidation⁵⁰ of the resultant alcohol afforded ketone 40,⁵¹

Scheme 11. Nucleophilic Fragmentation of Isoxazolines α -10 and 36

Scheme 12. Aromatization of Ketone 63 under Wittig Conditions

contact of which with Ph₃P=CH₂ at -78 °C triggered rapid aromatization to 42. ⁵² Previous observations (Schemes 8 and 9) suggested that suppression of the ester functionality would resolve the proble[m.](#page-9-0) Therefore, the ester was sele[ct](#page-3-0)ively [re](#page-3-0)duced $(LiBH₄)⁵³$ and the resultant primary alcohol was blocked with a second BOM group (Scheme 13). Release of the

Scheme 13. Preparation of Diene 47

TBDPS group in 44 was best achieved with TBAF buffered with acetic acid,⁵⁴ while Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP) was the reagent of choice for the oxidation of 45 to ketone 46, which, to our [reli](#page-9-0)ef, underwent smooth Wittig olefination to furnish diene 47 in 87% yield.

■ CONCLUSION

The elaboration of 5 to isoxazoline 36 under the modified Machetti−DeSarlo conditions detailed above alleviated material throughput issues that affected the original route to 11. An avenue to diene 47 is also described. Observations recorded in the course of this research are key to the success of ongoing synthetic efforts toward the natural product.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Experimental Protocols. Unless otherwise indicated, ¹H (300 MHz) and ^{13}C (75 MHz) NMR spectra were obtained from CDCl₃ solutions at room temperature. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) on the δ scale, coupling constants, *J*, in hertz (Hz), and multiplicities as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), dd (doublet of doublets), m (multiplet), c (complex), br (broad), ABq (AB quartet), and app (apparent). Infrared (IR) spectra (cm[−]¹) were recorded from thin films deposited on NaCl plates. Mass spectra (m/ z) were obtained in the electrospray (ESI) or atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) modes on a time-of-flight mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ion source. Melting points (uncorrected) were measured on a Mel-Temp apparatus. Commercial reagents and solvents were used without further purification except for THF (freshly distilled from Na/benzophenone under N₂), CH₂Cl₂ (freshly distilled from CaH₂ under N₂), CHCl₃ (washed with H₂O, treated with solid K_2CO_3 , freshly distilled from $Na₂SO₄$ under Ar), MeOH (freshly distilled from Mg/I₂ under Ar), 1,2-dichloroethane and acetone (freshly distilled from $CaSO₄$ under Ar), and i -Pr₂NEt and MeCN (distilled from CaH₂ under Ar). Commercial *n*-BuLi was titrated against $Ph_2CHCOOH$.⁵⁵ Flash chromatography was performed on Silicycle 230−400 mesh silica gel. All reactions were performed under an Ar atmosphere [in](#page-9-0) ovendried flasks fitted with rubber septa for the introduction of substrates/ reagents/solvents via syringe and equipped with Teflon stirring bars.

Preparation of α -9 without Purification of the Various **Intermediates.** Commercial BH₃·SMe₂ (∼10 M solution, 2.7 mL, 27 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was *carefully* added dropwise, via syringe, to a rapidly stirred solution of 5 (6.0 g, 27 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and (S)-CBS catalyst (0.075 g, 0.27 mmol, 0.01 equiv) in dry THF (135 mL) maintained under Ar in a 500 mL round-bottom flask immersed in an ice bath. The mixture was stirred for 45 min, during which time it was warmed to room temperature; then it was cooled back to 0° C, the flask was opened, and MeOH (3.3 mL, 81 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was carefully added dropwise via syringe at 0 °C (*Caution!* H₂ evolution). The solution was warmed to room temperature, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue of crude α -13 (major component of a 2.4/1 mixture with β -13) was dried under high vacuum, and then it was taken up in dry CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL); to this solution were added imidazole (1.83 g, 27 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and TBDPSCl (7.0 mL, 27 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred for 12 h (overnight) at room temperature under Ar, and then 0.05 N HCl (100 mL) was added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL). The extracts were combined, dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue of crude α -7 (major component of a 2.4:1 mixture with $β-7)$ was dissolved in THF (100 mL) and treated with $H_2O(100 \text{ mL})$ and LiOH·H₂O (3.4 g, 81 mmol, 3.0 equiv). The solution was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature, and then most of the THF was removed by rotary evaporation. Diethyl ether (100 mL) was added to the aqueous suspension, and the layers were separated to remove organic byproducts from previous steps (the desired carboxylic acids were dissolved in the aqueous layer as the Li carboxylates). The aqueous layer was acidified with 50% aqueous AcOH (30 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3×100 mL, check pH of aqueous layer). The combined extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. The residue was resuspended in toluene, and the resulting mixture was again concentrated (rotary evaporation) to remove AcOH. Coevaporation with toluene was repeated three to four times until all AcOH had been removed. The residue of crude α -8 (major component of a 2.4:1 mixture with β -8) was taken up in dry THF (70 mL) and treated with CDI (4.36 g, 27 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The solution was stirred at room temperature under Ar for 1 h, and then MeNO₂ (8.5 mL, 0.16 mol, 6.0 equiv) and t-BuOK (12.0 g, 0.11 mol, 4.0 equiv) were added at room temperature and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Aqueous 50% AcOH (30 mL) was added, and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between H_2O (70 mL) and CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL), the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with more CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL). The combined extracts were dried

 $(Na₂SO₄)$, filtered, and concentrated, and the residue was purified by gradient column chromatography (1/1−7:/3 EtOAc/hexanes) to furnish nitroketone α -9 (7.9 g, 16 mmol, 56% over four steps), light yellow oil, as the major component of a 2.4/1 mixture with diastereomer β -9. Data for α -9 are as follows ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6): 7.75−7.72 (m, 4H); 7.53−7.37 (m, 6H); 6.20−6.14 (m, 2H); 5.88− 5.82 (m, 2H); 5.57 (s, 2H); 4.61−4.59 (m, 1H); 3.22 (s, 2H); 1.88 (s, 3H); 1.07 (s, 9H). Signals arising from diastereomer 9^{12} were apparent at 5.67 (s, 2H), 3.30 (s, 2H), 1.78 (s, 3H), and shoulder at 1.07 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (acetone-d₆): 195.3, 170.8, 136.6, 13[4.5](#page-8-0), 130.9, 130.2, 129.7, 128.8, 85.1, 64.4, 52.6, 48.4, 27.4, 23.7, 19.8. Signals arising from diastereomer 9¹² were apparent at 195.5, 170.4, 130.8, 128.7, 52.2, 48.7, 27.3, 23.5, and 19.7. IR: 1736, 1700, 1656, 1558. MS: 515 [M + Na]+; negati[ve](#page-8-0) mode: 491 [M − H][−]. HRMS: calcd for $C_{27}H_{32}N_{2}O_{5}SiNa [M + Na]^{+} 515.1978$; found 515.1962.

Preparation of α **-10.** A solution of α -9 (major component of a 2.4/1 mixture with diastereomer β -9; 226 mg, 459 μ mol, 1 equiv), $Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O$ (5 mg, 25 μ mol, 5.4 mol %), and N-ethylpiperidine (8 μ L, 6.7 mg, 59 μ mol, 13 mol %) in dry CHCl₃ (3 mL) was stirred at 30 °C (oil bath temperature) under Ar for 7 days, and then it was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (10 mL) and washed three times with 0.01 M aqueous HCl solution (5 mL). The organic phase was rinsed with brine, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (50% EtOAc in hexanes) to give pure α -10 (60 mg, 27%, white powder, mp 74–75 °C), plus a fraction containing α -10 and β -10 (12 mg, 6%), and pure isoxazoline β -10¹² (30 mg, 14%), for an overall 47% yield of isoxazolines. ¹ H NMR: 7.71−7.60 (m, 4H); 7.53−7.37 (m, 6H); 6.18 (dd, J = 9.96, 5.94, 1[H\);](#page-8-0) 5.6 (d, J = 9.96, 1H); 5.75 (br, 1H); 5.05 (app s, 2H); 4.24 (d, J = 5.94, 1H); 4.06 (d, J = 18.4, 1H); 2.97 (d, J = 18.4, 1H); 1.95 (s, 3H); 1.10 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 191.4, 170.8, 161.7, 135.8, 135.6, 135.5, 134.9, 133.4, 132.6, 132.4, 130.5, 130.4, 128.1, 128.0, 85.1, 63.2, 54.2, 52.3, 27.0, 24.0, 19.1. IR: 3289, 1747, 1651, 1596, 1530. MS: 475 [M + H]⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{27}H_{31}N_2O_4Si$ $[M + H]^+$ 475.2053; found 475.2059.
Larger Scale Preparation of α -10 Contaminated with Acids

 α **- and β-8.** A solution of α -9 (major component of a 2.4/1 mixture with diastereomer β-9; 3.5 g, 7.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O$ (0.072 g, 0.36 mmol, 0.05 equiv), and N-ethylpiperidine (0.10 mL, 0.71 mmol, 0.10 equiv) in dry CHCl₃ (25 mL) was stirred at 30 °C (oil bath temperature) under Ar for 168 h, and then it was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in a minimal volume of EtOAc and filtered through a short plug of silica gel, rinsing with more EtOAc. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/Et₂O $1/4$) to give α-10 as the major component of a 5/1 mixture with acids α - and β -8. The mass of this material amounted to 2.0 g of a pale yellow foam, which therefore consisted of 84% (mass-wise) of α -10 and 16% of acids, making the yield of oxazoline equal to 1.68 g, 3.5 mmol, 49%.

Preparation of 20. Solid DMAP (82 mg, 0.67 mmol, 0.04 equiv) and solid $Boc₂O$ (6.6 g, 30.3 mmol, 1.8 equiv) were added to a solution of 5 (3.75 g, 16.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (100 mL). The mixture was stirred under Ar at room temperature for 48 h, and then it was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL), and the resulting solution was washed with 0.02 M HCl solution $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$, DI water (10 mL) , and brine (10 mL) , and then dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated. The residue was purified with gradient chromatography (3/7−1/1−7/3 EtOAc/hexanes) to afford, in order of elution: 25 (0.72 g, 1.7 mmol, 10%; $R_f = 0.50$ in 3/7 EtOAc/hexanes) as a pale yellow oil; 26 (0.17 g, 0.3 mmol, 4%; $R_f =$ 0.34 in 3:7 EtOAc/hexanes) as white plates, mp 171 °C (dec); the desired 20 (3.20 g, 9.9 mmol, 61%; $R_f = 0.24$ in 3/7 EtOAc/hexanes) as a pale yellow oil; 24 (0.88 g, 2.7 mmol, 16%; $R_f = 0.52$ in EtOAc) as a reddish oil.

Data for compound 20 are as follows. ¹H NMR: 7.26 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 2H); 6.24 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 2H); 3.66 (s, 3H); 3.22 (s, 2H); 2.28 (s, 3H); 1.46 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 184.6, 172.2, 169.0, 152.7, 148.4, 128.0, 85.2, 58.7, 52.0, 42.5, 27.5, 26.3. IR: 1740, 1690, 1670, 1631. MS: 346 $[M + Na]$ ⁺. HRMS: calcd for C₁₆H₂₁NO₆Na $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 346.1267; found 346.1265.

Data for compound 24 are as follows. ${}^{1}H$ NMR: 5.85 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H); 5.77 (dd, J = 10.2 Hz, 1.9 Hz, 1H); 5.67 (app d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H); 5.77 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H); 3.64 (s, 3H); 2.79, 2.64 (ABq, J_{AB} = 14.9 Hz, 2H); 1.96(s, 3H); 1.49 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 170.0, 166.1, 150.8, 148.1, 132.4, 120.8, 106.3, 84.0, 80.4, 69.0, 52.0, 45.5, 27.8, 14.1. IR: 1754, 1739, 1662. MS: 346 $[M + Na]$ ⁺. HRMS: calcd for C₁₆H₂₂NO₆ $[M +$ H]⁺ 324.1447; found 324.1453.

Data for compound 25 are as follows. ^{1}H NMR: 6.36 (dd, J = 7.0) Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H); 6.21 (app d, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1H); 6.05 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1H); 5.63 (dd, J = 9.7 Hz, 6.7 Hz, 1H); 3.69 (s, 3H); 3.28 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H); 2.47 (s, 3H); 1.51(s, 9H); 1.43 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR: 173.3, 170.9, 152.3, 151.5, 151.3, 124.2, 123.9, 122.8, 122.3, 117.2, 83.8, 83.7, 52.3, 47.8, 27.9, 27.7, 26.1. IR: 1739, 1708 (shoulder). MS: 446 [M + Na]⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{21}H_{29}NO_8Na [M + Na]$ ⁺ 446.1791; found 446.1793.

Data for compound 26 are as follows. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR: 7.10, 7.03 (app AB q, J_{AB} = 8.8 Hz, 8H); 3.82 (s, 6H); 1.54 (s, 18H). ¹³C NMR: 168.2, 151.5, 151.2, 138.2, 131.5, 131.0, 121.2, 83.9, 52.9, 27.8. IR: 1752, 1718. MS: 551 $[M + Na]$ ⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{28}H_{32}O_{10}Na$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 551.1893; found 551.1888.

Preparation of 21. Commercial $BH_3 \cdot SMe_2$ complex (1.0 mL, 10.0) mmol, 1.0 equiv) was carefully syringed over 5 min into a cold $(0 \degree C)$ solution of 20 (3.20 g, 9.9 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and (S)-CBS catalyst (28 mg, 0.1 mmol, 0.01 equiv) in THF (74 mL), with good stirring under Ar. The ice bath cooling the mixture was removed, and stirring was continued for an additional 50 min. The reaction was quenched by careful dropwise addition of MeOH (1.5 mL, 37 mmol, 3.7 equiv) (Caution! H_2 evolution). When gas evolution stopped, more MeOH (10 mL) was added and stirring was continued for another 15 min. The solution was concentrated, the residue was redissolved in MeOH (15 mL), and the solution was again concentrated to dryness. The latter operation was repeated once to ensure complete decomposition of organoboron species. The residue was then filtered through a short silica gel plug with 50% EtOAc/hexanes until no product ($R_f = 0.13$ in 30% EtOAc/hexanes) was observed in the eluate by TLC. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness under vacuum, and the residue was azeotroped with toluene (15 mL) and then dried under high vacuum to constant mass (3.0 g). The crude reduction product was dissolved in dry DMF (20 mL) and treated with imidazole (1.30 g, 19.1 mmol, 1.9 equiv) and TBDPSCl (2.9 mL, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The reaction flask was then immersed into an oil bath maintained at 70 °C and the solution stirred for 24 h. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature, and most of the DMF was removed under vacuum. The residue was taken up in EtOAc (60 mL), and the solution was successively washed with 0.02 M HCl solution $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$, DI water (15 mL), and brine (15 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4), and concentrated. The residue was redissolved in MeOH (15 mL), and the solution was again concentrated to dryness. The latter operation was repeated once to ensure complete removal of volatiles. The residue thus obtained was dissolved in refluxing MeOH (15 mL) and the hot solution was allowed to stand overnight, whereupon 21 crystallized as transparent prisms. This solid was filtered and rinsed with cold MeOH $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and then recrystallized again from MeOH (10 mL) to afford pure 21 (2.82 g, 5.0 mmol, 51%) as white prisms, mp 94−95 °C. The combined mother liquors from such recrystallizations were concentrated and the residue was subjected to gradient chromatography (1/ 9−1/4 EtOAc/hexanes) to obtain more 21 (0.45 g, 0.8 mmol, 8%; R_f = 0.38 in 1/4 EtOAc/hexanes), its β epimer (0.44 g, 0.8 mmol, 8%; R_f = 0.31 in 1:4 EtOAc/hexanes) as a pale yellow oil, and a mixture of the two (0.56 g, 1.0 mmol, 10%; containing 48% of 21). In summary, a 76% yield of both diastereomers was obtained with a 4.9/1 diastereomeric ratio.

Data for compound 21 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.71−7.67 (m, 4H); 7.46−7.36 (m, 6H); 6.16 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 1.8 Hz, 2H); 5.81 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 2.9 Hz, 2H); 4.46−4.42 (m, 1H); 3.53 (s, 3H); 3.08 (s, 2H); 2.16 (s, 3H); 1.53 (s, 9H); 1.07 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 170.5, 170.1, 153.9, 134.0, 133.7, 130.0, 129.5, 128.0, 127.9, 84.5, 63.1, 57.3, 51.6, 44.0, 27.6, 27.0, 25.2, 19.3. IR: 1741, 1682. MS: 586 [M + Na]⁺ . HRMS: calcd for $C_{32}H_{41}NO_6$ NaSi $[M + Na]^+$ 586.2601; found 586.2600.

Data for the β -epimer of 21 are as follows: mp 82−84 °C (MeOH). ¹H NMR: 7.71–7.66 (m, 4H); 7.44–7.35 (m, 6H); 6.20 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 2.1 Hz, 2H); 5.89 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 4.58−4.53 (m, 1H); 3.67 (s, 3H); 3.19 (s, 2H); 2.04 (s, 3H); 1.29 (s, 9H); 1.07 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 170.4, 169.8, 153.6, 136.0, 133.9, 132.3, 129.9, 128.4, 127.9, 84.1, 63.8, 57.1, 51.8, 43.1, 27.4, 27.1, 24.9, 19.3. IR: 1741, 1682. MS: 586 $[M + Na]$ ⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{32}H_{41}NO_6SiNa$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 586.2601; found 586.2598.

Preparation of 36 without Purification of Intermediates. Hydrazine hydrate (225 μ L, 4.4 mmol, 1.7 equiv) was added (syringe) to a suspension of 21 (1.47 g, 2.61 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (26 mL) maintained under Ar in a heavy-walled glass tube fitted with a screwcap container. The mixture was heated to 60 °C and stirred for 24 h, and then it was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo and the residue was filtered through a short silica gel plug (10 mL) with 1/1 EtOAc/hexanes until no deacetylated product ($R_f = 0.72$) in 3/7 EtOAc/hexanes) eluted. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness, and the residual light yellow oil was dissolved in THF (14 mL). Deionized water (14 mL) was added with good stirring, followed by solid LiOH·H2O (332 mg, 7.90 mmol, 3.0 equiv, added in one portion). The resulting suspension became a clear monophasic solution within 4 h. Stirring was continued for another 1 h until all starting ester had been consumed, and then the mixture was cooled in an ice bath and acidified with 0.4 M HCl solution (20 mL, 8.0 mmol, 3.1 equiv), added slowly with vigorous stirring. The acidic solution was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 35 \text{ mL})$, and the combined extracts were washed with DI water (20 mL) and brine (20 mL) and then dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated. The residue of crude 22 was dissolved in THF (17 mL) and treated with carbonyldiimidazole (467 mg, 2.88 mmol, 1.1 equiv). After 3 h, to the mixture were added MeNO_2 (840 μ L, 15.6 mmol, 6.0 equiv) and t-BuOK (1.17 g, 10.4 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and then the reaction flask was immersed in an oil bath maintained at 40 °C for 30 min. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched by adding $1/9$ HOAc/ H_2O (20 mL) solution, and then it was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL, 2 \times 10 mL). The combined extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. Complete removal of AcOH was achieved by azeotroping with toluene $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The residue was filtered through a short silica gel plug (10 mL) with 1/1 EtOAc/hexanes (removal of imidazonium salts) until no more 23 ($R_f = 0.46$ in 3/7 EtOAc/ hexanes, streak) eluted. Concentration of the filtrate afforded crude $23,56$ which was dissolved in freshly distilled MeCN (64 mL) containing $Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O$ (25 mg, 0.13 mmol, 0.05 equiv), silica gel (1[4 m](#page-9-0)g), and N-ethylpiperidine (105 μ L, 0.76 mmol, 0.3 equiv). The resulting suspension was stirred (Ar) at 35 °C (oil bath) for 168 h, whereupon TLC indicated complete consumption of 23. The mixture was concentrated under vacuum, the residue was dissolved in EtOAc (70 mL), and the solution was washed with 0.02 M HCl $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ and brine (20 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (1/9−1/4 EtOAc/ hexanes) furnished 36 as an off-white foam (470 mg, 0.88 mmol, 34%; $R_f = 0.56$ in 3/7 EtOAc/hexanes). Further elution with with $1/1$ EtOAc/hexanes returned acid 22 (340 mg, 0.67 mmol, 26%; $R_f = 0.37$ in 7/3 EtOAc/hexanes, streak), mp 157−158 °C (CH₂Cl₂),⁵⁷ which was conveniently recycled.

Data for 36 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.70−7.61 (m, 4H); 7[.52](#page-9-0)−7.38 $(m, 6H)$; 6.15 (dd, J = 9.9 Hz, 6.0 Hz, 1H); 5.81 (dd, J = 9.9 Hz, 1.0) Hz, 1H); $5.09 - 5.02$ (m, 2H); 4.83 (d, $J = 11.1$ Hz, 1H); 4.17 (dd, $J =$ 6.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H); 3.91, 3.40 (ABq, $J_{AB} = 18.5$ Hz, 2H); 1.45 (s, 9H); 1.09 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR: 191.5, 161.7, 155.01, 135.8, 135.7, 134.7, 134.5, 132.6, 132.5, 130.6, 130.4, 128.2, 128.1, 85.1, 80.7, 62.9, 55.4, 54.7, 52.0, 28.4, 27.1, 19.1. IR: 1747, 1711. MS: 555 $[M+Na]^+$, 587 $[M]$ + MeOH + Na]⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{30}H_{36}N_2O_5N_4S_1$ [M + Na]⁺ 555.2291; found 555.2300.

Preparation of 37. A solution of α -10 (major component of a 5/1) mixture with acids 8, 1.50 g, corresponding to 1.26 g of α -10, 2.65 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and $Li₂CO₃$ (117 mg, 1.58 mmol, 0.6 equiv) in dry MeOH (63.0 mL; freshly distilled from Mg turnings) was stirred under argon at room temperature for 1.5 h, and then the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes 1/1) to give 37 (1.32 g, 2.60 mmol, 98%) as an off-white solid, mp 166−168 °C.

Data for 37 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.72−7.68 (m, 4H); 7.50−7.39 $(m, 6H)$; 5.84 (br d, J = 10.29, 1H); 5.76 (br s, 1H); 5.72 (dd, J = 10.2, 2.6, 1H); 4.45−4.41 (m, 1H); 4.35 (d, J = 3.12, 1H); 4.07−4.03 (m, 1H); 3.67 (s, 3H); 3.45 (d, J = 16.08, 1 H); 2.87 (d, J = 16.08, 1 H); 1.98 (s, 3H); 1.10 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 170.6, 170.3, 135.9, 135.7, 133.1, 132.0, 130.2, 130.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 117.4, 71.6, 70.2, 55.1, 51.9, 39.17, 38.7, 26.9, 24.1, 19.2. IR: 3370, 3304, 2252, 1720, 1641. MS: 529 $[M + Na]$ ⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{28}H_{34}N_2O_5SiNa$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 529.2135; found 529.2122.

Preparation of 38. Solid $Li₂CO₃$ (5 mg, 0.07 mmol, 0.5 equiv) was added to a solution of 36 (72 mg, 0.14 mmol, 1.0 equiv, dried to constant weight under high vacuum and then stored in a desiccator overnight) in dry MeOH (2.5 mL). The suspension was stirred under Ar for 1 h, and then it was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (2.5 mL), filtered through Celite with more CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL), and concentrated in vacuo. Compound 38 (76 mg, 0.13 mmol, 99%; $R_f = 0.46$ in 3/7 EtOAc/hexanes) was obtained as an off-white foam. The NMR spectra of this material reveal the presence of no impurities; consequently, no further purification was carried out.

Data for 38 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.73−7.69 (m, 4H); 7.48−7.38 (m, 6H); 5.85 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H); 5.68 (dd, J = 10.2 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 1H); 4.77 (br, 1H); 4.46 (dd, J = 4.8, 2.0, 1H); 4.13−4.09 (m, 2H); 3.67 (s, 3H); 3.39, 2.82 (ABq, $J_{AB} = 15.4$ Hz, 2H); 2.14 (d, J = 3.5, 1H) ; 1.45 (s, 9H); 1.10 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 170.4, 153.9, 136.4, 135.8, 133.3, 133.3, 132.1, 130.4, 130.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 117.53, 80.8, 72.2, 70.2, 54.8, 51.9, 40.00, 39.8, 28.4, 27.1, 19.4. IR: 3418, 2246, 1721 (broad). MS: 571 $[M + Li]^+$. HRMS: calcd for $C_{31}H_{40}N_2O_6N$ aSi $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 587.2553; found 587.2551.

Preparation of 39. A solution of 37 (0.303 g, 0.60 mmol, 1.0 equiv), BOMCl (0.12 mL, 0.90 mmol, 1.5 equiv), and DIPEA (0.19 mL, 1.08 mmol, 1.8 equiv) in 1,2-dichloroethane (3.0 mL) was stirred at 75 °C under Ar for 48 h, and then it was cooled to room temperature, diluted with $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$ (40 mL), and partitioned between saturated aqueous $NH₄Cl$ solution (50 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with more CH_2Cl_2 (2 \times 30 mL). The combined extracts were washed with H₂O (150 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated under vacuum, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(Et_2O/h$ exanes 7/3) to give 39 (0.341 g, 0.55 mmol, 91%) as a colorless oil.

Data for 39 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.73−7.69 (m, 4H); 7.48−7.30 $(m, 11H)$; 6.27 (br s, 1H); 5.77 (d, J = 10.32, 1H); 5.56 (dd, J = 10.32, 3.42, 1H); 4.74−4.67 (m, 4H); 4.53−4.49 (m, 1H); 4.46−4.43 (m, 1H); 4.16−4.13 (m, 1H); 3.68 (s, 3H); 3.28 (d, J = 15.63, 1H); 2.94 $(d, J = 15.63, 1H)$; 1.99 (s, 3H); 1.10 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR: 170.8, 170.2, 137.3, 136.0, 135.88, 133.6, 133.0, 130.4, 130.1, 130.0, 129.0, 128.5, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 118.1, 94.5, 77.5, 76.4, 69.9, 68.2, 54.7, 54.5, 51.9, 40.0, 36.7, 30.4, 27.0, 24.0, 19.3. IR: 3291, 2247, 1737, 1665. MS: 649 $[M + Na]$ ⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{36}H_{42}N_2O_6SiNa$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 649.2710; found 649.2710.

Preparation of 43. Solid LiBH₄ (0.079 g, 3.6 mmol, 10.0 equiv) was added to a dry THF (3.0 mL) solution of 39 (0.227, 0.36 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under Ar for 24 h, and then it was cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C and saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (2.0 mL) was carefully added (Caution! $H₂$ evolution). The solution was stirred at room temperature for a further 30 min, and then it was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with more EtOAc $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated under vacuum, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100% EtOAc) to give 43 (0.152 g, 0.25 mmol, 70%) as a colorless oil.

Data for 43 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.73−7.68 (m, 5H); 7.44−7.24 $(m, 10H)$; 6.73 (br s, 1H); 5.82 (d, J = 10.4, 1H); 5.52 (dd, J = 10.4, 3.54, 1H); 4.74−4.45 (m, 5H); 4.35−4.33 (br m, 1H); 4.15−4.12 (br m, 1H); 3.90−3.68 (br m, 2H); 2.42−2.33 (m, 1H); 2.20−2.12 (m, 1H); 1.97 (s, 3H); 1.09 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 170.6, 137.3, 136.0, 135.9, 133.6, 133.1, 131.2, 130.1, 130.0, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 119.1, 94.3, 77.4, 77.1, 69.9, 67.3, 58.4, 55.9, 39.2, 36.3, 27.0,

24.2, 19.3. IR: 3332 (broad), 2245, 1662. MS: 621 [M + Na]⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{35}H_{42}N_2O_5SiNa [M + Na]^+ 621.2761$; found 621.2759.

Preparation of 45. A 1,2-dichloroethane (7.0 mL) solution of 43 (0.473 g, 0.79 mmol, 1.0 equiv), DIPEA (0.34 mL, 1.98 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and BOMCl (0.22 mL, 1.58 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was stirred under Ar at 50 °C for 24 h, and then it was cooled to room temperature, diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL), and partitioned with saturated aqueous $NH₄Cl$ solution (15 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with more CH_2Cl_2 (2 \times 20 mL). The combined extracts were washed with H₂O (50 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated under vacuum to afford crude 44 as a pale yellow oil. This material was taken up in THF (5.0 mL) at room temperature under Ar and treated with a 1 M solution of AcOH in THF (2.0 mL, 1.98 mmol, 2.5 equiv) followed by a 1 M solution of TBAF in THF (2.0 mL, 1.98 mmol, 2.5 equiv). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h, and then it was diluted with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (10 mL) (Caution! $CO₂$ evolution) and diluted with EtOAc (20 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with more EtOAc (2 × 20 mL). The combined extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated under vacuum, and the residual pale yellow oil was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc) to give 45 (0.329 g, 0.66 mmol, 84% over two steps) as a colorless oil.

Data for 45 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.40−7.28 (m, 10H); 6.64 (br s, 1H); 6.00 (dd, J = 10.23, 4.44, 1H); 5.81 (d, J = 10.23, 1H); 4.87 (br s, 2H); 4.78 (br s, 2H); 4.67 (br s, 2H); 4.62 (br s, 2H); 4.53 (d, J = 3.0, 1H); 4.28−4.18 (m, 1H); 4.14−4.11 (m, 1H); 3.89−3.63 (m, 3H); 2.58−2.49 (m, 1H); 2.28−2.20 (m, 1H); 1.92 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR: 170.4, 137.4, 137.0, 130.2, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0 (2 signals), 127.7, 118.5, 95.0, 94.8, 70.3, 70.0, 65.4, 63.9, 54.8, 36.8, 35.8, 24.1. IR: 3335, 2244, 1658. MS: 503 [M + Na]⁺ . HRMS: calcd for $C_{27}H_{32}$ N₂O₆Na [M + Na]⁺ 503.2158; found 503.2155.

Preparation of 46. Solid Dess−Martin periodinane (0.874 g, 2.06 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added at room temperature to a CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) solution of 45 (0.660 g, 1.37 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. An aqueous solution of $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3/\text{NaHCO}_3$ (7/ 1, 20 mL) was added, and the mixture was diluted with $Et₂O$ (20 mL) and stirred until the layers turned clear (ca. 15 min). The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with more Et_2O (2 \times 20 mL). The combined extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated under vacuum, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexanes 7/3) to give 46 (0.618 g, 1.29 mmol, 94%) as a colorless oil.

Data for 46 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.42−7.30 (m, 10H); 7.16 (br s, 1H); 6.92 (d, $J = 10.59$, 1H); 6.07 (d, $J = 10.59$, 1H); 5.03 (d, $J =$ 6.99, 1H); 4.88−4.83 (m, 4H); 4.73 (d, J = 4.29, 1H); 4.67−4.63 (m, 3H); 4.45 (d, J = 4.29, 1H), 3.93−3.78 (m, 2H); 2.53−2.48 (m, 2H); 1.95 (s, 3H). 13C NMR: 191.0, 170.7, 154.3, 137.5, 137.3, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 126.8, 117.1, 95.3, 93.9, 71.5, 70.7, 70.4, 64.1, 55.5, 39.8, 38.3, 23.8. IR: 3347, 2246, 1678, 1660 (shoulder). MS: 501 $[M + Na]$ ⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{27}H_{30}N_2O_6Na$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 501.2002; found 501.1989.

Preparation of 47. Commercial n-BuLi solution (2.5 M in hexanes, 0.50 mL, 1.25 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was carefully added (syringe) to a THF (3.0 mL) suspension of Ph_3PCH_3Br $(0.747 \text{ g}, 2.09 \text{ mmol})$, 5.0 equiv) at room temperature, under Ar, with good stirring. After 1 h, the mixture was cooled to −78 °C, and a THF (3.0 mL) solution of 46 (0.200 g, 0.42 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise (syringe). Upon completion of the addition, the reaction was stirred at −78 °C for 5 min, and then it was warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 2.5 h. The mixture was carefully treated with saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution (20 mL), and then it was warmed to room temperature and diluted with $Et₂O$ (20 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with more Et_2O (2 \times 20 mL). The combined extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated under vacuum, and the residual pale yellow oil was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(Et₂O)$ to give 47 (0.173 g, 0.36 mmol, 87%) as a colorless oil.

Data for 47 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.41−7.28 (m, 10H); 6.91 (br s, 1H); 6.23 (d, J = 10.3, 1H); 5.80 (d, J = 10.3, 1H); 5.32 (br s, 1H); 5.27 (br s, 1H); 4.89−4.78 (m, 5H); 4.71−4.59 (m, 5H); 3.86−3.82 (m, 2H); 2.50−2.47 (m, 2H); 1.95 (s, 3H). 13C NMR: 170.1, 137.4, 137.3, 136.4, 131.3, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 119.4, 118.6, 94.9, 91.1, 71.6, 69.9, 69.9, 64.3, 55.6, 38.6, 37.2, 24.2. IR: 3300, 2244, 1659. MS: 499 [M + Na]⁺ . HRMS: calcd for $C_{28}H_{32}N_2O_5Na$ [M + Na]⁺499.2209; found 499.2189.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

Text giving additional characterization data, figures giving proton and 13C NMR spectra, and CIF files giving X-ray crystallographic data for 21 and 37. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail for M.A.C.: ciufi@chem.ubc.ca.

Notes

The authors declare [no competing](mailto:ciufi@chem.ubc.ca) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the University of British Columbia, the Canada Research Chair program, NSERC, CIHR, and BCKDF for support of our program.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Reviews: (a) Koert, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5572. (b) Narahashi, T. Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser. B 2008, 84. (c) Hucho, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 39.

(2) Reviews: (a) Hucho, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 39. (b) Kim, Y. H.; Kim, Y. B.; Yotsu-Yamashita, M. J. Toxicol 2003, 22, 521.

(3) Isolation and structural elucidation: (a) Woodward, R. B. Pure Appl. Chem. 1964, 9, 49. (b) Tsuda, K.; Ikuma, S.; Kawamura, M.; Tachikawa, R.; Sakai, K.; Tamura, C.; Amakasu, O. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1964, 12, 1357. (c) Goto, T.; Kishi, Y.; Takahashi, S.; Hirata, Y. Tetrahedron 1965, 21, 2059.

(4) The toxin has since been found in various other animals, including certain blue-ringed octopi, sea stars, newts, and toads, and worms. For reviews of TTX chemical and evolutionary ecology see: (a) Williams, B. L. Mar. Drugs 2010, 8, 381. (b) Hanifin, C. T. Mar. Drugs 2010, 8, 577.

(5) Recent reviews: (a) Chau, J.; Ciufolini, M. A. Mar. Drugs 2011, 9, 2046. (b) Nishikawa, T.; Isobe, M. Chem. Rec. 2013, 13, 286.

(6) (a) Kishi, Y.; Aratani, M.; Fukuyama, T.; Nakatsubo, F.; Goto, T.; Inoue, S.; Tanino, H.; Sugiura, S.; Kakoi, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 9217. (b) Kishi, Y.; Fukuyama, T.; Aratani, M.; Nakatsubo, F.; Goto, T.; Inoue, S.; Tanino, H.; Sugiura, S.; Kakoi, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 9219−9221.

(7) (a) Ohyabu, N.; Nishikawa, T.; Isobe, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8798. (b) Hinman, A.; Du Bois, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11510. (c) Nishikawa, T.; Urabe, D.; Isobe, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4782. (d) Sato, K.; Akai, S.; Sugita, N.; Ohsawa, T.; Kogure, T.; Shoji, H.; Yoshimura, J. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 7496. (e) Sato, K. I.; Akai, S.; Shoji, H.; Sugita, N.; Yoshida, S.; Nagai, Y.; Suzuki, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Kajihara, Y.; Funabashi, M.; Yoshimura, J. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 1234. (f) Akai, S.; Seki, H.; Sugita, N.; Kogure, T.; Nishizawa, N.; Suzuki, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Kajihara, Y.; Yoshimura, J.; Sato, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2010, 83, 279.

(8) (a) Nishikawa, T.; Urabe, D.; Yoshida, K.; Iwabuchi, T.; Asai, M.; Isobe, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 452. (b) Nishikawa, T.; Urabe, D.; Yoshida, K.; Iwabuchi, T.; Asai, M.; Isobe, M. Pure Appl. Chem. 2003, 75, 251. (c) Nishikawa, T.; Asai, M.; Ohyabu, N.; Yamamoto, N.; Isobe, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3081. (d) Asai, M.; Nishikawa, T.; Ohyabu, N.; Yamamoto, N.; Isobe, M. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 4543−4558. (e) Nishikawa, T.; Urabe, D.; Yoshida, K.; 7847. (9) Review of C−H insertion reactions of carbenoids and nitrenoids: Gutekunst, W. R.; Baran, P. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1976.

(10) Review: Ciufolini, M. A.; Braun, N. A.; Canesi, S.; Ousmer, M.; Chang, J.; Chai, D. Synthesis 2007, 3759.

(11) Chau, J.; Ciufolini, M. A. Org. Synth. 2013, 90, 190.

(12) Mendelsohn, B. A.; Ciufolini, M. A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4736.

(13) (a) Andersen, S. H.; Das, N. B.; Jørgensen, R. D.; Kjeldsen, G.; Knudsen, J. S.; Sharma, S. C.; Torssell, K. B. G. Acta. Chem. Scand. B 1982, 36, 1. (b) Torssell, K. B. G. Nitrile Oxides, Nitrones and Nitronates in Organic Synthesis; VCH: New York, 1988.

(14) For a related reaction see: Itoh, T.; Watanabe, M.; Fukuyama, T. Synlett 2002, 1323.

(15) For full details see: Chau, J. M.S. Thesis, University of British Columbia, 2012.

(16) Techniques that should enable the translation of the chemistry described herein to an enantioselective regime have been described: Mendelsohn, B.; Lee, S.; Kim, S.; Teyssier, F.; Aulakh, V. S.; Ciufolini, M. A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1539.

(17) This is to prevent an undesirable Michael cyclizations of intermediates such as 2-(1-acetamido-4-oxocyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-yl) acetic acid and N-(1-(3-nitro-2-oxopropyl)-4-oxocyclohexa-2,5-dien-1 yl)acetamide.

(18) Confirmed by the X-ray crystal structure of a derivative: Mendelsohn, B. Dissertation, University of British Columbia, 2010.

(19) Reviews: (a) Itsuno, S. Org. React. 1998, 52, 395. (b) Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1986.

(20) The CBS reduction also alleviated technical difficulties arising from the polar nature and aqueous solubility of 5 and of alcohols 13, as well as the sensitivity of the latter to acidic and basic conditions and their ability to coordinate Al(III) species.

(21) Indirect structural proof for α -13 was subsequently obtained by single-crystal X-ray diffractometry of compound 37 (vide infra).

(22) The observation that DIBAL and CBS reductions produce opposite stereochemical outcomes was initially made by our former co-worker: Liang, H. Dissertation, University of British Columbia, 2009. Early reduction experiments using the CBS method were carried out by our former coworker, Mr. (now Dr.) Brian Mendelsohn: Mendelsohn, B. Ph.D. Dissertation; University of British Columbia, Vancouver, 2010.

(23) Examples of such 1,2-additions are as follows. (a) Compound 10 in: Nagaoka, H.; Miyakoshi, T.; Yamada, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3621. (b) Compound 3 in: Swiss, K. A.; Liotta, D. C.; Maryanoff, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 9393. Examples of such 1,4 additions are as follows. (c) Compound 9 in: Canesi, S.; Bouchu, D.; Ciufolini, M. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4336.

(24) (a) Eliel, E. L.; Wilen, S. H. Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds; Wiley: New York, 1994; p 875.

(25) This preference may be reversed by the use of appropriate techniques. See ref 23b as well as, e.g.: (a) Imbos, R.; Brilman, M. H. G.; Pineschi, M.; Feringa, B. L. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 623. (b) Merino, E.; Melo, R. P. A.; Ortega-Guerra; Ribagorda, M.; Carreno, M. C. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2824 and literature cited therein.

(26) For an analogous proposal, see Figure 1 in: Carreno, M. C.; Perez Gonzalez, M.; Ribagorda, M.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 3687.

(27) The hydrophobic TBDPS group di[mi](#page-0-0)nished the aqueous solubility of all subsequent intermediates.

(28) Review on the synthesis and chemistry of α -nitroketones: Ballini, R.; Bosica, G.; Fiorini, D.; Palmieri, A. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 8971.

(29) As detailed in ref 12, careful control of the pH during acidification of the saponification mixture was necessary to prevent cyclization of the acids to an undesired lactonic byproduct (cf. compound 19 in ref 12).

(30) Staab, H. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1962, 1, 351.

(31) Baker, D. C.; Putt, S. R. Synthesis 1978, 478. Compound 9 was first made by Dr. Cyril Benhaim during his tenure in this group. The procedure described herein (see the Experimental Section) incorpo-

rates minor modifications relative to the one detailed in ref 12. (32) As described in ref 12, attempted dehydration of the nitroketones to the corresponding α [-oxonitrile](#page-4-0) [oxide](#page-4-0) [wit](#page-4-0)h, e.g., 4chlorophenyl isocyanate^{32a} or BOC₂O^{32b} resulted in formation of the desired isoxazolines as minor components of a complex mixture. We thank our former coworkers, Dr. Cyril Benhaim and Mr. (now Dr.) Brian Mendelsohn, for carrying out exploratory experiments in this area. (a) Mukaiyama, T.; Hoshino, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 5339. (b) Basel, Y.; Hassner, A. Synthesis 1997, 309 .

(33) (a) Cecchi, L.; De Sarlo, F.; Machetti, F. Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 7903. (b) Trogu, E.; De Sarlo, F.; Machetti, F. Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 7940.

(34) Control experiments confirmed that $Cu(OAc)₂$ was required. Although simpler nitroketones related to 9 can be induced to cyclize in the presence of amine bases only, we had previously determined that treatment of 9 with, e.g., plain $\mathrm{Et}_3\mathrm{N}$ produced no 10^{12} Cecchi, L.; De Sarlo, F.; Machetti, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 7877.

(35) Inferior results were obtained with N-methylmorpholine (20% yield of isoxazoline), imidazole (11% yield), or DABCO (no product detected).

 (36) The use of freshly distilled CHCl₃ (removal of stabilizing EtOH) was key to maximum efficiency.

(37) The published procedure³³ calls for operation at 60 $^{\circ}$ C. However, α -9 yielded numerous byproducts at such a temperature. A cleaner reaction was observed at 30 °C, but at the detriment of rate. Interestingly, epimer β -9 tolerated higher reaction temperatures, advancing to $β$ -10 in 40% yield after only 2.5 days at 60 °C, with marginal formation of byproducts.

(38) The formation of the isoxazoline from the nitroketone must necessarily generate 1 equiv of H_2O .

(39) These reactions were carried out with ca. 30 mg of nitroketone and 0.6 mg of $Cu(OAc)$, (weighed as a solid) dissolved in 0.6 mL of a stock solution of N-ethylpiperidine (3.0 μ L) in CDCl₃ (2 mL). This solution thus contained 0.9 μ L of N-ethylpiperidine.

(40) The amount of (paramagnetic) $Cu(II)$ present in the mixture induced an insignificant extent of line broadening, enabling close monitoring of the progress of the reaction by 300 MHz ¹H NMR.

(41) Nitromethane can be adsorbed/chemisorbed onto strongly basic earth oxides such as CaO or MgO: Kheir, A. A.; Haw, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 817. It seemed unlikely that nonbasic $CaSO₄$ might also adsorb/chemisorb MeNO₂. However, if that were the case, the NMR analysis described herein would produce meaningless results. The following experiment unequivocally ruled out such a possibility. Two 0.6 mL aliquots of a stock solution of MeNO₂ (3 μ L) in CDCl₃ (1.5 mL) were separately syringed into two NMR tubes, one of which contained powdered anhydrous $CaSO₄$ (ca. 20 mg). Both solutions were sonicated for 5 min, and a ¹H NMR spectrum of each was recorded. The ratio of the integrated areas under the signals of $CH₃NO₂$ and residual CHCl₃ were identical in both solutions, signifying that no sequestration of $MeNO₂$ had occurred. In contrast, the signal of residual $H₂O$ had been largely suppressed in the sample containing CaSO₄.

(42) This material was not thoroughly purified, and it was characterized by ¹H NMR and low- and high-resolution mass spectrometry. The identity was confirmed by comparison with a sample prepared from commercial 4-acetamidophenol (see the Supporting Information).

(43) For relevant discussion see: Bodanszky, M. Principles of Peptide Synthesis[; Springer-Verla](#page-7-0)g: Berlin, Germany, 1993; see especially p 173ff.

(44) Carreno, M. C.; Ortega-Guerra, M.; Ribagorda, M.; Sanz-Cuesta, M. J. Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 621.

(45) This step occurred much more efficiently when MeOH freshly distilled from Mg turnings was employed as the solvent, instead of commercial dry MeOH.

(46) The X-ray crystal structure of 37 is described in the Ph.D. dissertation of our former co-worker, Mr. (now Dr.) B. Mendelsohn, who prepared it by the Torsell method.^{13,22} The compound cocrystallized with one molecule of CH_2Cl_2 , but only the structure of 37 is shown in Figure 3. For full detail[s, se](#page-8-0)e the Supporting Information.

(47) Chromatographic purification of batches of isoxazolines obtained from multigram-sc[ale](#page-2-0) runs of the above seque[nces](#page-7-0) [would](#page-7-0) [occasionally](#page-7-0) return product contaminated with 15−20% of acids 8/22. This was somewhat surprising, since acids and isoxazolines exhibit widely differing chromatographic mobilities (e.g., in 70% EtOAc/ hexanes: 22, $R_f = 0.00$; 36, $R_f = 0.55$). Rather than further purification of the isoxazolines, it was expedient to remove the contaminants at the stage of 37/38 to minimize losses. Indeed, the basic treatment and subsequent chromatography of 37/38 eliminated all traces of residual acids. See the Experimental Section for details.

(48) Recent work has revealed that the N-BOC compound 38 can be elaborated to very advanced TTX intermediates without passing through an an[alogous](#page-4-0) [diene.](#page-4-0) [Theref](#page-4-0)ore, the sequence leading to the latter was studied only in the N-acetyl series.

(49) It is worthy of note that while the BOM protection of 37 proceeded efficiently to afford 39 in 91% yield after 48 h, the same reaction of its epimer 11 was slow and could not be forced to completion without incurring unacceptable losses. Furthermore, the chromatographic mobility of the BOM derivative of 11 was similar to that of the starting alcohol, complicating purification. This is one of the reasons it was advantageous to use α -diastereomers 7–10/21–23 in sequences leading to advanced TTX intermediates.

(50) (a) Parikh, J. R.; Doering, W. V. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5505. (b) Review: Tidwell, T. T. Org. React. 1990, 39, 297.

(51) Because this ketone proved to be a dead-end compound, the sequence leading to it was not optimized, nor was 40 fully characterized.

(52) This substance was not thoroughly purified, and it was characterized only by 300 MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃: δ 7.45–7.32 (m, 5H); 7.15 (d, $J = 8.46$, 1H); 7.04 (d, $J = 8.46$, 1H); 5.32 (s, 2H); 4.93 $(s, 2H)$; 3.76 $(s, 2H)$; 3.74 $(s, 3H)$ and ESI mass spectrometry (m/z) 350 $(M + Na)^{+}$).

(53) This reduction was slow and required occasional addition of more LiBH4 over 24 h.

(54) The action of unbuffered TBAF on 44 returned 45 in only about 20% yield, as did treatment with HF·(pyridine).

(55) Kofron, W. G.; Baclawski, L. M. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1879. (56) A small amount of nitroketone 23 was purified by flash chromatography ($1/9$ EtOAc/hexanes). In CDCl₃ solution, about 15% of 23 appears to exist as the enol tautomer of the ketone (presumably, internally H-bonded to the $\rm NO_2$ group) on the basis of $^1\rm H$ and $^{13}\rm C$ NMR. Data for 23 are as follows. ¹ H NMR: 7.71−7.67 (m, 4H); 7.46−7.37 (m, 6H); 6.73 (s, 0.15 H, presumed enol tautomer); 5.99 (app dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 1.8 Hz, 2H); 5.81 (app dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 2.6 Hz, 2H); 5.26 (s, 1.7 H); 4.61 (br, 1H); 4.56 (m, 1H); 3.02 (s, 1.7 H); 2.77 (s, 0.3 H, presumed enol tautomer); 1.45 (s, 9H); 1.08 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 193.3, 171.3 (presumed enol tautomer), 155.1, 154.6 (presumed enol tautomer), 136.0, 133.8, 130.3, 130.0, 128.3, 127.8, 118.1 (presumed enol tautomer), 83.9, 80.5, 63.5 (presumed enol tautomer), 63.4, 52.2 (presumed enol tautomer), 51.1, 48.1, 42.4 (presumed enol tautomer), 28.4, 27.0, 19.3. IR: 3409, 1703, 1560, 1493. MS: 573 $[M + Na]$ ⁺. HRMS: calcd for $C_{30}H_{36}N_2O_5NaSi$ $[M +$ Na]+ 573.2397; found 573.2390.

(57) Chromatographic purification of 22 is quite difficult. A pure sample was obtained by cooling a hot saturated CH_2Cl_2 solution of the acid, whereupon the compound crystallized as a powdery solid, which was filtered and washed twice with CH₂Cl₂, mp 157-158 °C. ¹H NMR: 7.71–7.66 (m, 4H); 7.48–7.36 (m, 6H); 5.93 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 2H); 5.81 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 2.5 Hz, 2H); 4.52 (m, 1H); 2.64 (br, 2H); 1.45 (s, 9H); 1.07 (s, 9H). 13C NMR: 173.1, 136.0, 133.9, 130.0, 129.5 (br, 2 signals), 127.8, 81.2 (br), 63.5, 51.1, 44.2 (br), 28.5, 27.0, 19.32. The carbonyl carbon of the BOC group at ca. 155 ppm was barely visible, presumably due to spin saturation/slow relaxation.

No attempt was made to obtain a better spectrum by altering the relaxation delay between pulses. IR: 3325, 1709, 1656. MS: 530 [M + Na]⁺ ; negative ion mode 506 [M − H][−]. HRMS: calcd for $C_{29}H_{37}NO_5NaSi$ [M + Na]⁺ 530.2339; found 530.2346.